

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,126	06/27/2003	Uwe Krueger	34874-162 UTIL	6692	
	7590 03/08/200 N, COHN, FERRIS, GI	7 LOVSKY & POPEO, P.C.	EXAM	INER	
9255 TOWNE	CENTER DRIVE	OVSKY & POPEO, P.C.  KANG, INSUN	INSUN .		
SUITE 600 SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92121		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2193		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
		9,126	KRUEGER, UWE			
Office Action Summar	Y Exami	ner	Art Unit			
	Insun I	Kang	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	-		
Period for Reply	20 FOR DEDLY 10 OF	T TO EVEIDE AMONT	IVON OD TUUDTY (20) DAN	<b>'</b> 0		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TI  - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no semmunication. In the statutory period will apply are reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION OF EVENT, however, may a reply be not will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(	s) filed on <i>04 Decembe</i>	er 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action					
3) Since this application is in cond	lition for allowance exc	ept for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits	s is		
closed in accordance with the p	oractice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9 and 12-14</u> is/are	pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4-9, and 12-14</u> is/ar						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Papers				٠.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objec	ted to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152	<u>.</u> .		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c a) All b) Some * c) None 1 Certified copies of the pr	of:		(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the pr	·		ation No			
3. Copies of the certified co	pies of the priority doc	uments have been rece				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			·			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	The state of the s	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				
2.2						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responding to amendment filed on 12/4/2006.

2. As per applicant's request, claims 2, 3, 10, and 11 have been cancelled, claims 1 and 4-9 have been amended and claims 13 and 14 have been added. Claims 1, 4-9, and 12-14 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1, 4-8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 4-8, and 13 are non-statutory because they are directed to a "computer-implemented software application framework" without recitation of a computer or a computer-readable medium embodying the framework either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer ("acts") or computer readable medium so as to enable the computer to perform the claimed functionalities. The claimed computer-implemented framework is non-functional descriptive material, and is not statutory because it is not a physical "thing" nor a statutory process, as there are not "acts" being performed. Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the program itself is not a process, without the computer or computer-readable medium

needed to realize the program's functionality. In contrast, a claimed computer or computer-readable medium encoded with a program defines structural and functional interrelationships between the program and the medium which permit the program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. It is suggested to change the claims as "a computer implemented software application framework embodied on a computer".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 4-9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aditham et al. (US Patent. 6,378,001) hereafter "Aditham."

#### Per claim 1:

Aditham discloses:

-a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object having a set of functionality and being identifiable by a unique identifier provided by the virtual object space (i.e. col. 4 lines 10-20; col. 6 lines 47-65) and providing generic object functionality for the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 2 lines 30-41; col. 5 lines 15-35;

col. 6 lines 47-65) including an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. col. 8 lines 12-18); a distribution functionality for locking, flushing, and copying of the virtual object space (i.e. col. 7 lines 43-53); and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65; col. 8 lines 12-22); and a visualization framework for visualizing the plurality of objects independently of an application implementing the object, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier and according to the object's corresponding set of functionality (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

#### Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

-framework services providing common event handling of the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

#### Per claim 5:

## Aditham discloses:

a virtual object space providing access to a plurality 0f objects, each object being identifiable by a unique identifier, providing generic object functionality for the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 2 lines 30-41; col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65) including an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. col. 8 lines 12-18); a distribution functionality for locking, flushing, and

copying of the virtual object space (i.e. col. 7 lines 43-53); and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65; col. 8 lines 12-22);a user interface for receiving input from a user relating to a desired arrangement of one or more of the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects according to the desired arrangement, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier independently of an application implementing the object (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

## Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches: wherein the user interface further includes allowing a user to browse the ;plurality of objects independent of one or more applications implementing the objects (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

## Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches: the desired arrangement is hierarchical(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

#### Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

the visualization framework includes an object viewer(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Page 6

## Per claim 13:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches: providing a portlet to access the generic object functionality to visualize the structure of the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claims 9, 12, and 14, they are the method versions of claims 1, 4, and 13, respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1, 4, and 13 above.

7. Claims 1, 4-9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koppolu et al. (US Pg. Pub. 2002/0103824) hereafter "Koppolu."

#### Per claim 1:

#### Koppolu discloses:

-a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object having a set of functionality and being identifiable by a unique identifier provided by the virtual object space (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539) providing generic object functionality for the plurality of objects(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539; 0425) including an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. 0425); a distribution functionality for locking, flushing, and copying of the virtual object space

Application/Control Number: 10/609,126

Art Unit: 2193

(i.e. 0458,0404); and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects (i.e. 0508; 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects independently of an application implementing the object, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier and according to the object's corresponding set of functionality (i.e. fig 5-7; 0191; 0192).

#### Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches:
-framework services providing common event handling of the plurality of objects(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

#### Per claim 5:

#### Koppolu discloses:

a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object being identifiable by a unique identifier; providing generic object functionality for the plurality of objects(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539; 0425) including an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. 0425); a distribution functionality for locking, flushing, and copying of the virtual object space (i.e. 0458,0404); and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects(i.e. 0508; 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539); a user interface for receiving input from a user relating to a desired arrangement of one or more software objects (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects according to the

desired arrangement, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier independently of an application implementing the object (i.e. fig 5-7; 0191; 0192).

## Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: wherein the user interface further includes allowing a user to browse the ;plurality of objects independent of one or more applications implementing the objects (i.e. 0012; fig

5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: the desired arrangement is hierarchical(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

## Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: the visualization framework includes an object viewer(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

#### Per claim 13:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: providing a portlet to access the generic object functionality to visualize the structure of the plurality of objects(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,126 Page 9

Art Unit: 2193

Per claims 9, 12, and 14, they are the method versions of claims 1, 4, and 13, respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1, 4, and 13 above.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/4/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant states that neither Koppolu nor Aditham teaches a virtual object space providing generic object functionality such as an associations and transactions functionality, a distribution functionality, and persistency functionality.

In response to the above statement, Aditham discloses a collaborative framework with shared objects having generic life cycle services including an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. col. 8 lines 12-18); a distribution functionality (i.e. col. 7 lines 43-53); and persistency functionality (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65; col. 8 lines 12-22). Koppolu also discloses a unified browsing environment supporting unified seamless navigation among different varieties of documents, data, and application programs (i.e. 0012). Koppolu's unified browser includes generic object functionalities such as an associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects (i.e. 0425); a distribution functionality (i.e. 0458,0404); and persistency

Application/Control Number: 10/609,126

Art Unit: 2193

functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects (i.e. 0508; 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Insun Kang whose telephone number is 571-272-3724. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 6:30-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MENG AI AN can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application/Control Number: 10/609,126

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2193

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

IK AU 2193

NVENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP

Page 11